UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,440	01/25/2002	Uri Mahlab	MAHLAB=2	3860	
	7590 01/28/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C		EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW			BELLO, AGUSTIN		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/936,440	MAHLAB, URI				
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Agustin Bello	2613				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Agustin Bello</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Ronni S. Jillions</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	<u>;</u>]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NA</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner agreed that the office action mailed 10/23/08 was made final prematurely in light of the advisory action mailed 7/8/08. The examiner agreed to withdraw the finality of the rejection and issue a non-final office action in response to the RCE filed 8/11/08. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Agustin Bello/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613						